Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/584,779	SCHERER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMMANUEL DUKE	3784
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowed		
(1) <u>EMMANUEL DUKE</u> .	(3)	
(2) THOMAS J. BURGER, ESQ., (REG. No. 32,662).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>07/19/2011</u>	Time: <u>2:55PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: CLAIMS 1 AND 4		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Request for Examiner's Amendment Authorization to amend claim 1 with limitations of claim 4; and subsequently cancelled claim 4. Authorization granted by David Fitzgerald, Esq., (Attorney of Record - Reg. No. 67,324) on 7/22/2011.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/EMMANUEL DUKE/ Examiner, Art Unit 3784 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)